Billing Code: 4160-90-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Scientific Information Request on Imaging Tests for the Diagnosis and Staging of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), HHS.

ACTION: Request for Scientific Information Submissions

SUMMARY: The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) is seeking scientific information submissions from the public on imaging tests for the diagnosis and staging of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Scientific information is being solicited to inform our review of *Imaging Tests for the Diagnosis and Staging of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma*, which is currently being conducted by the Evidence-based Practice Centers for the AHRQ Effective Health Care Program. Access to published and unpublished pertinent scientific information on imaging tests for the diagnosis and staging of pancreatic adenocarcinoma will improve the quality of this review. AHRQ is conducting this comparative effectiveness review pursuant to Section 1013 of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, Public Law 108-173, and Section 902(a) of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. 299a(a).

DATES: Submission Deadline on or before [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES:

Online submissions: http://effectivehealthcare.AHRQ.gov/index.cfm/submit-scientific-information-packets/. Please select the study for which you are submitting information from the list to upload your documents.

E-mail submissions: SIPS@epc-src.org.

Print submissions:

Mailing Address:

Portland VA Research Foundation

Scientific Resource Center

ATTN: Scientific Information Packet Coordinator

PO Box 69539

Portland, OR 97239

Shipping Address (FedEx, UPS, etc.):

Portland VA Research Foundation

Scientific Resource Center

ATTN: Scientific Information Packet Coordinator

3710 SW U.S. Veterans Hospital Road

Mail Code: R&D 71

Portland, OR 97239

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robin Paynter, Research Librarian, Telephone: 503-220-8262 ext. 58652

or Email: SIPS@epc-src.org.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality has commissioned the Effective Health Care (EHC) Program Evidence-based Practice Centers to complete a review of the evidence for *Imaging Tests for the Diagnosis and Staging of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma*.

The EHC Program is dedicated to identifying as many studies as possible that are relevant to the questions for each of its reviews. In order to do so, we are supplementing the usual manual and electronic database searches of the literature by requesting information from the public (e.g., details of studies conducted). We are looking for studies that report on *Imaging Tests for the Diagnosis and Staging of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma*, including those that describe adverse events. The entire research protocol, including the key questions, is also available online at:

http://www.effectivehealthcare.AHRQ.gov/search-for-guides-reviews-and-reports/?pageaction=displayproduct&productID=1620

This notice is to notify the public that the EHC program would find the following information on *imaging tests for the diagnosis and staging of pancreatic adenocarcinoma* helpful:

- A list of completed studies your company has sponsored for this indication. In the list, *indicate whether results are available on ClinicalTrials.gov along with the ClinicalTrials.gov trial number*.
- For completed studies that do not have results on ClinicalTrials.gov, a summary, including the following elements: study number, study period, design, methodology, indication and diagnosis, proper use instructions, inclusion and exclusion

criteria, primary and secondary outcomes, baseline characteristics, number of patients screened /eligible /enrolled /lost to follow-up /withdrawn /analyzed, effectiveness/efficacy, and safety results.

- A list of ongoing studies your company has sponsored for this indication. In the list, please provide the ClinicalTrials.gov trial number or, if the trial is not registered, the protocol for the study including a study number, the study period, design, methodology, indication and diagnosis, proper use instructions, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and primary and secondary outcomes.
- Description of whether the above studies constitute ALL Phase II and above clinical trials sponsored by your company for this indication and an index outlining the relevant information in each submitted file.

Your contribution is very beneficial to the Program. The contents of all submissions will be made available to the public upon request. Materials submitted must be publicly available or can be made public. Materials that are considered confidential; marketing materials; study types not included in the review; or information on indications not included in the review cannot be used by the Effective Health Care Program. This is a voluntary request for information, and all costs for complying with this request must be borne by the submitter.

The draft of this review will be posted on AHRQ's EHC program website and available for public comment for a period of 4 weeks. If you would like to be notified when the draft is posted, please sign up for the e-mail list at: http://effectivehealthcare.AHRQ.gov/index.cfm/join-the-email-list1/.

The systematic review will answer the following questions. This information is provided as background. AHRQ is not requesting that the public provide answers to these questions. The entire research protocol, is also available online at:

http://www.effectivehealthcare.AHRQ.gov/search-for-guides-reviewsand-reports/?pageaction=displayproduct&productID=1620

The Key Questions

Question 1

What is the comparative effectiveness of imaging techniques (e.g., MDCT angiography ± 3D reconstruction, other MDCT, EUS-FNA, PET-CT, MRI) for diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma in adults with suspicious symptoms?

- a. What is the accuracy of each imaging technique for diagnosis and assessment of resectability?
- b. What is the comparative accuracy of the different imaging techniques for diagnosis and assessment of resectability?
- c. What is the comparative diagnostic accuracy of using a single imaging technique versus using multiple imaging techniques?
- d. How is test experience (e.g., operative experience, assessor experience, center's annual volume) related to comparative diagnostic accuracy of the different imaging strategies?
- e. How are patient factors and tumor characteristics related to the comparative diagnostic accuracy of the different imaging strategies?
- f. What is the comparative clinical management after the different imaging strategies when used for diagnosis?

What is the comparative impact of the different imaging strategies on long-term survival and quality of life when used for diagnosis?

Question 2

What is the comparative effectiveness of imaging techniques (e.g., MDCT angiography ± 3D reconstruction, other MDCT, EUS-FNA, PET-CT, MRI) for *staging* of pancreatic adenocarcinoma among adults with a diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma?

- a. What is the staging accuracy of each imaging technique (for tumor size, lymph node status, vessel involvement, metastases, stage [I– IV], and resectability)?
- b. What is the comparative staging accuracy among the different imaging techniques?
- c. What is the comparative staging accuracy of using a single imaging technique versus using multiple imaging techniques?
- d. How is test experience (e.g., operative experience, assessor experience, center's annual volume) related to comparative staging accuracy of the different imaging strategies?
- e. How are patient factors and tumor characteristics related to the comparative staging accuracy of the different imaging strategies?
- f. What is the comparative clinical management of the different imaging strategies when used for staging?

What is the comparative impact of the different imaging strategies on long-term survival and quality of life when used for staging?

Question 3

What are the rates of harms of imaging techniques (e.g., MDCT

angiography \pm 3D reconstruction, other MDCT, EUS-FNA, PET-CT, MRI)

when used to diagnose and/or stage pancreatic adenocarcinoma?

a. How are patient factors related to the harms of different imaging

techniques?

What are patient perspectives on the tolerance of different imaging

techniques and the balance of benefits and harms of different imaging

techniques?

Question 4

What is the comparative screening accuracy of imaging techniques (e.g.,

MDCT angiography \pm 3D reconstruction, other MDCT, EUS-FNA, PET-CT,

MRI) in high-risk asymptomatic adults (i.e., those at genetic or familial

risk of pancreatic adenocarcinoma)?

Dated: August 19, 2013.

Carolyn M. Clancy,

AHRQ, Director

[FR Doc. 2013-20849 Filed 08/26/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 08/27/2013]

7